



CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

23 DEC 1965

The Honorable Robert S. McNamara Secretary of Defense Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Attached is Volume III of the Joint Analysis Group's report, Alternative Projections of Soviet Military Forces (1965-1975). This completes the present report, Volumes I and II of which were issued in May 1965.

As you will recall, Volumes I and II postulated four alternative Soviet forces, projected over the next ten years. Volume III considers the cost and manpower implications of these forces. General Carroll and I trust that it will add to the over-all usefulness of the report.

Sincerely,

Lishardetelma

Richard Helms Acting Director

Attachment

25X1 25X1	JAG: hof:22 Dec 65 Retyped in O/DDCI/				
	Distribution:		ing a grant of the	्र कुल्म असम्बद्धाः	16
	Orig &l- Adse				J.
1	-ER			·	4.5

JAG Chrono proved For Release 2003/05/05, CIA REP80B01676R000400050005Approved For Release 2003/05/05 - CIA-RDP80B01676R000400050005-0

65 6467

The Honorable Robert S. McNamara Secretary of Defense Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Attached is Volume III of the Joint Analysis Group's report, Alternative Projections of Soviet Military Forces (1965-1975). This completes the present report, Volumes I and II of which were issued in May 1965.

As you will recall, Volumes I and II postulated four alternative Soviet forces, projected over the next ten years. Volume III considers the cost and manpower implications of these forces. I trust that it will add to the overall usefulness of the report.

Sincerely.

W. F. Raborn

Attachment

JAG: :hof

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - addresse

1 - ER

1 - JAG

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400050005-0

25X1

28 October 1965

Recoint Delicat

MEMORARDIM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

: Deputy Director for Intelligence KK THROUGH

: Procedure for Issuing Volume III of JAG Study, BURJECT

Alternative Projections of Seviet Military Forces

(1965-1975)

1. This memorandum outlines, for your approval, a proposed procedure for issuing Volume III of the 1965 Joint Analysis Group study, projecting the costs and manpower associated with each of the four alternative Forces and the components thereof. Volume III, comprising about 125 pages of main text, charts and tables, and a separately bound Annex breaking down costs by individual weapon systems, was prepared with the help of the Office of Research and Reports and has been reviewed in that Office of the Board of Mational Estimates. The only substantive point of special interest is the not wholly unexpected finding that Force II, the high-side force, was not an economically practical force for the Soviets to Sevelop and would almost certainly not be adopted in its entirety.

- 2. I see no need to solicit somments on the draft from the intelligence community at large, as was done with the first two volumes, since Volume III is an essentially technical analysis of the economic implications of the forces projected in Volumes I and II, rather than something which brings up a whole new broad set of judgments. Also, it has been a long time since Volumes I and II were issued in May and we would hope to avoid further delay.
- 3. Attached, for your signature, is a draft letter of transmission to the Secretary of Defense. Alternatively, Velume III could be circulated under a similarly worded, unsigned Distribution Note on Joint Analysis Group stationery. A copy of your original letter of transmission to Mr. McHamara is also attached for reference.

STAT

- 4. Two questions:
 - a. Is this procedure satisfactory to you?
 - b. Do you wish to see Volume III or be briefed on it before it is circulated?

I am sending a similar request for approval of this procedure to General Carroll.

Chairman
CIA/DIA Joint Analysis Group

STAT

Attachments

SECRET Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80B01076R000400050005-0

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

The Honorable Robert S. McNamara Secretary of Defense Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Attached is the second report of the CIA/DIA Joint Analysis Group. In it you will find four alternative Soviet forces, projected over the next ten years. The projections are based on the current estimate of Soviet Military doctrine and on three possible divergent lines of development.

The alternatives and their component weapon systems are designed to present a feasible range of threat for use as assumptions in the long-term planning studies conducted in your office and by the military services. They are not to be construed as being the intelligence community's estimate of Soviet Military development during the period.

This study has been reviewed by the other members of the USIB and the Board of National Estimates, and while they do not necessarily agree in detail to all of its projections, they do believe it to be useful. General Carroll and I concur in suggesting that it be used as a basis for threat models included in Department of Defense longterm planning studies.

Sincerely,

William F. Raborn, Jr.

Holow

Vice Admiral, USN (Ret.)

COPY

COPY